

ANNEX 5 : PEER REVIEW PRO-FORMA FOR THESIS PROPOSAL

NARDF Reference Code :	
-------------------------------	--

CONFIDENTIAL not for Applicant

Title of Thesis [as it appears on the proposal]	
Name of reviewer	
Date Sent to reviewer	
Date returned from reviewer	

Please choose (✓) one from the following:

	I know the identity of the proponent of this proposal	⇒	Please return the proposal to NARDF Secretariat without peer review
	The proponent approached me	⇒	
	I do not know the identity of the proponent of this proposal	⇒	Please proceed for the peer review of the proposal

Declaration:

I, ----- (name) declare hereby that I do not know the identity of the proponent of this proposal.

Signature:

Date:

Not for Reviewers:

Reviewer's Code	
-----------------	--

CONFIDENTIAL not for Applicant

1. Problem setting and its relevance	
Comment:	
	15
2. Literature review	
Comment:	
	10
3. Rationale/Justification of the study/research	
Comment:	
	10
4. Study Site and its Justification	
Comment:	
	5
5. Research Design and Methodology	
Comment:	
	25

6. Expected Outcome of the Research	
Comment:	
	20
7. Implication of the finding to the farmer's perspective	
Comment:	
	10
8. Work plan with budget	
Comment:	
	5
Total Marks Obtained	/100

CONFIDENTIAL not for Applicant Summary of evaluation

Criterion	Score	Project Score
1. Problem setting and its relevance.	15	
2. Literature review	10	
3. Rationale/Justification of the study/research	10	
4. Study Site and its Justification	5	
5. Research Design and Methodology	25	
6. Expected Outcome of the Research	20	
7. Implication of the finding to the farmer's perspective	10	
8. Work plan with budget	5	
	Total:	100
CONFIDENTIAL not for Applicant	Rating	

Marks Obtained and Rating Category:

Marks Obtained	Category
80 and > 80	Excellent
70 to < 80	Very Good
60 to < 70	Good
50 to < 60	Fair

RESULTS OF EVALUATION

√ Please	Marks Obtained	Category
	80 and > 80	Excellent
	70 to < 80	Very Good
	60 to < 70	Good
	50 to < 60	Fair

Signature:

Date: